CAPITALIZATION OF WASTEWATER-GROWN ALGAE IN BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION
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Abstract. Global environmental policies to reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases and to increase the use of renewable energy have created premises for identification and exploitation of new, economic and non-polluting resources. Algae biomass has gained interest in the production of bioethanol because it is renewable, carbon-neutral, sustainable and can be cultivated in non-productive, non-arable land (without competing with production of food crops) or in fresh and grey water. Algae can grow in wastewater (in open ponds and photobioreactors), contributing to wastewater treatment, reducing nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorous), chemical oxygen demand, biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, heavy metals and coliforms. Algal biomass has fast growth-rate (12 days) and the doubling time during exponential growth is only 3.5 hours. Macroalgae contains carbohydrates (starch, cellulose and hemicellulose) and undergoes pretreatments (acidic, alkaline, or enzymatic hydrolysis) to obtain reducing sugars, which are fermented with yeast to obtain bioethanol. Many strains of algae grown in wastewater, including Chlorella, Chlamydomonas, Dunaliella, Porphyridium, Spirogyra and Spirulina contain up to 50 % of their dry weight carbohydrates (starch and glycogen) and are converted into bioethanol. Global production and consumption of bioethanol are expected to increase to 134.5 billion liters by 2024. This paper presents the importance of cultivating algae in wastewater, and reviews the most recent achievements in the production of third generation bioethanol from algae strains using acid, alkaline and enzymatic pretreatments, respectively the importance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for the fermentation of sugars extracted from algae.
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Introduction

According to FAO, in 2016 the global bioethanol production was 100.2 billion litres and is expected to increase to nearly 134.5 billion litres by 2024. Shares of bioethanol production in 2024 refer to 2 % Thailand, 2 % India, 7 % China, 7 % the European Union, 31 % Brazil, 42 % the USA and 9 % other countries. Small differences are given for bioethanol consumption: 2 % Thailand, 2 % India, 7 % China, 8 % the European Union, 29 % Brazil, 41 % the USA and 11 % other countries [1]. It is expected that biofuels will cover 30.7 % of total transport energy demand by 2060 [2].

Bioethanol feedstock includes: sugar-containing biomass (sugarcane, sugar beets, sweet sorghum, whey, molasses), starch-containing biomass (corn, wheat, whey, barley, grain sorghum, potato, cassava, Jerusalem artichoke, beverage residues) and lignocellulosic biomass (straws, corn stover, rice hulls, olive pulp, forestry residues, bagasse, switchgrass, alfalfa, respectively wood residues which contain 43 % cellulose, 27 % lignin, 20 % hemicellulose and 10 % other components.

About 40 % of the global bioethanol production is mostly from sugarcane and sugar beet and 60 % is from starch-containing feedstock [3]. Although the lignocellulosic biomass is much cheaper for biofuel production than sugar and starch-based feedstock, the technology leading to its conversion into ethanol is currently under development worldwide [4].

Biofuels produced from crops cultivated in arable land are in competition with the food industries, which lately is rising strong opposition in Europe and globally. In this context, new resources like algae have gained increasing interest in biofuels production. Algae are renewable, sustainable, carbon-neutral, and can be cultivated in non-productive, non-arable land, reducing the threats on food security. Microalgae have fast growth rate and a very short harvesting cycle (1-10 days) compared to other biomass feedstocks, and their doubling time during exponential growth is 3.5 hours.

Algae can grow in municipal, livestock, agricultural and industrial wastewater, consuming the macronutrients (C, N, P, S) and micronutrients (Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mg, Mo, Zn) [5] which otherwise would cause eutrophication. Growing in wastewater (in open ponds, and more recently in photobioreactors consisting of transparent plastic bags, flat and alveolar panels, Plexiglas, acrylic and glass tubes, or flexible plastic coils) [6], algae contribute to wastewater treatment without aeration by the symbiotic growth of photosynthetic algae and bacteria, reducing nutrients (N and P), organic ions,
chemical oxygen demand, biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, endocrine disrupters, and other harmful chemicals. Algae also reduce the pathogenic organisms, viruses, protozoa and coliform bacteria such as *Salmonella*, *Shigella* present in municipal and livestock wastewater, improving the quality of the final effluent through natural disinfection. The effluent can be recovered for irrigation of agricultural and energy crops or for landscape purposes. However, different studies stress that the microalgae can hardly grow in undiluted wastewater due to high concentrations of ammonium and other compounds frequently present in wastewater.

Algae are feed with sun energy, water, nutrients and carbon dioxide. Algae contain proteins, large amounts of carbohydrates (starch, cellulose and hemicellulose) and lipids (Fig. 1), which can be converted into biofuels (biodiesel, bioethanol, biobutanol, biogas, biohydrogen) by biochemical and thermochemical processes, chemical reactions and direct combustion. The main operations involved in algae processing for bioethanol production are presented in Fig. 2.
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**Fig. 1. Composition of microalgae cell wall [7]**

![Algae conversion into bioethanol](image2)

**Fig. 2. Algae conversion into bioethanol [8]**

Most microalgae species contain over 37% starch, which makes them useful for bioethanol production [9]. For example, wastewater-grown algae *Chlorella*, *Chlamydomonas*, *Dunaliella*, *Porphyridium*, *Scenedesmus*, *Spirogyra*, *Spirulina* and *Tetraselmis* contain 50% of their dry weight carbohydrates (starch and glycogen) which can be used for bioethanol fermentation [5]. CO₂ obtained as a by-product of bioethanol fermentation can be reused to cultivate carbohydrate-rich microalgae.

Wastewater and freshwater-grown macroalgae can be a better option than microalgae in the production of bioethanol, because they have characteristics similar to plants, hence more biomass could be obtained due to their size making the harvesting process simpler, and it is possible to collect the algae residue from beaches or industry [10]. The most readily accessible carbohydrates in *Laminaria*, the brown seaweed macroalgae, are mannitol and laminaran (a storage glucan), which make up about 26% of its total dry mass. The starch in green seaweed could be fermented easier than the carbohydrates in brown seaweed when using standard bacteria or yeast strains, and tests are carried out on the cultivation conditions under stress to increase production [11].

Currently, the production of liquid biofuels (bioethanol, biodiesel and bio-oil) cannot be commercially implemented because liquid fuel production alone is not economically feasible. Algae capitalization for biofuel production would be economically viable if all components of microalgae biomass would be used into an integrated biorefinery to produce different products. However, microalgae-based wastewater treatment coupled with bioethanol production is a promising strategy to decrease the economic and environmental cost of biofuel production.

**Materials and methods**

In bioethanol production, the valuable components of microalgae biomass are the carbohydrates (starch, cellulose and hemicellulose); with little or no lignin, they are more easily hydrolyzed to monosaccharide than other lignocellulosic biomass. Starch and cellulose in microalgae are not readily fermentable to bioethanol, so pretreatments are crucial in converting them to fermentable sugars. Pretreatment processes can be physical, biological, chemical or mixed and they account for up to 30% of the total cost of algal biomass conversion [12].
To convert high carbohydrates contained in microalgae into bioethanol, acid, alkali or enzyme hydrolysis and subsequent fermentation with bacteria or yeast is needed [13]. The starch found in microalgae cells could be converted directly into bioethanol under dark and anaerobic conditions, although the production rate and yield of bioethanol are much lower [4].

The chemical pretreatments by acid and alkaline hydrolysis are inexpensive; they have shorter reaction time, higher capacity to hydrolyze the polymers and oligosaccharides to monosaccharides [7], with acids providing higher sugar yields than alkali [14]. Acid hydrolysis takes place in the presence of the most active cellulose hydrolysis catalyst, H$_2$SO$_4$, or HCl [15], in concentrations ranging from 1 to 10 % at temperatures between 60-180 °C [7] to degrade the cellulose matrix from the cell wall, depolymerize the hemicellulose and hydrolyze the starch into simple molecules to avoid the enzymatic hydrolysis [16]. Alkaline hydrolysis uses potassium hydroxide (KOH), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$), or aqueous ammonia (NH$_4$OH). The alkaline agents create pores in the cell wall to free the intracellular compounds, decreasing the size of starch polymers and the crystallinity of cellulose and starch [17]. During chemical saccharification, fermentation inhibitors (furfural and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural) are produced, but they can be neutralized by maintaining proper conditions of moisture content, temperature, residence time and concentration of the reaction agent [4].

Biological (enzymatic) hydrolysis (or saccharification) is the most promising technology and a critical step in achieving an economically viable production of bioethanol. In enzymatic saccharification, suitable and engineered enzymes such as cellulase, amylase, endo-amylase, glucanase, amyloglucosidase, xylanase or enzymatic mixtures are employed to hydrolyse the microalgae in order to obtain sugars (glucose and mannose), because each species of microalgae has different polysaccharide composition. Compared to the chemical pretreatment, the enzymatic saccharification is time consuming and relatively expensive [9], but it does not generate degradation products or toxic compounds and has higher yields of simple carbohydrates [7].

Fermentation is the process of converting the cellulosic biomass that contains sugars to bioethanol using microbes or yeasts [18], in supporting conditions of temperature and pH. To augment the reaction, yeast-based fermentation is supplemented with nitrogen. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been extensively used in the fermenting of sugars for bioethanol production due to its high yields (Table 1) and high tolerance to ethanol. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ferments only the hexose sugars in the hydrolyzate, but not the pentose sugars. There are only few researches on bioethanol production from microalgae with other yeasts than *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Efficient yeasts that can ferment both pentose and hexose sugars include *Candida shehatae*, *Pachysolana tannophilus* and *Pichia stipitis*, but their yields of ethanol are five times less than those of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using glucose [18]. *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, *Kluyveromyces fragilis*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [19] were also tested for sugars fermentation.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algae species</th>
<th>Biomass load, g·L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Sugar content, g·L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Ethanol content, g·L$^{-1}$&amp; g·g$^{-1}$ biomass</th>
<th>Yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydomonas rheinhardii</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>14.6 &amp; 0.29</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorococcum infusionum</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- &amp; 0.08</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caulerpa mexicana</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>8.5 &amp; 0.41</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella vulgaris</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6 &amp; 0.07</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodesmus sp.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>23 &amp; 0.23</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindakia tetrachotoma</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11.2 &amp; 0.11</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirogyra sp.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>- &amp; 0.08</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenedesmus obliquus</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>11.7 &amp; 0.023</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Zymomonas mobilis* cannot convert the pentose sugars to ethanol, so it is less used in sugar fermentation and produces less biomass than *Saccharomyces* [5]. Efficient yeasts that can ferment both pentose and hexose sugars include *Candida shehatae*, *Pachysolana tannophilus* and *Pichia stipitis*, but their yields of ethanol are five times less than those of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using glucose [18]. *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, *Kluyveromyces fragilis*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [19] were also tested for sugars fermentation.
Results and discussion

In extensive research carried out so far mostly at laboratory scale, various chemical and enzymatic saccharifications have been employed for the production of bioethanol from different microalgae strains. Next is presented a synthesis of the results obtained in various studies that aimed to obtain bioethanol by means of chemical and biological pretreatments of algae.

Harun et al. [20] applied the alkaline saccharification to obtain bioethanol from green algae Chlorococcum infusionum. Concentrations of NaOH solutions of 0.5 %, 0.75 %, 1 %, 2 %, and 3 % (w/v) with specific temperature and reaction time were tested to free the carbohydrates. The highest yield of glucose was 0.35 g glucose/g microalgae and highest bioethanol yield was 26.1 % (g ethanol/g algae) with 0.75 % (w/v) NaOH at 120 °C for 30 minutes. The lowest bioethanol yield was 10.66 % with 1 % (w/v) of NaOH at 100 °C for 60 minutes. This study proved the feasibility of bioethanol production from microalgae through alkaline pretreatment. Rehman and Anal [9] have conducted a study to evaluate green algae Chlorococcum sp. TISTR 8583 for the production of bioethanol, by subjecting the algal biomass to acid, alkaline and enzyme pretreatments. Their results showed that the alkaline pretreatment was the most efficient (23.67 wt % sugars per gram algal biomass: 1.2 % (w/v) at a temperature of 140 °C for 30 minutes), while the acid pretreatment (1 % v/v, at 140 °C) was the least efficient with the yield of 14.83 wt % sugars/g algal biomass. This study proved that Chlorococcum sp. TISTR 8583 accumulates high starch and lipid contents in nutrient stress conditions.

Choi et al. [21] have tested enzymatic saccharification using two commercial hydrolytic enzymes (amylase from Bacillus licheniformis and glucoamylase from Aspergillus niger) to obtain bioethanol from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii UTEX 90 with carbohydrate content of 59.7 % dry weight. When the microalgal biomass was hydrolyzed at pH 4.5 and 55 °C for 30 minutes, better sugar conversion of 0.57 g sugar per gram microalgae biomass was obtained and 235 mg ethanol was produced from 1 g of microalgae by separate hydrolysis and fermentation method. The main advantages of this method include simple equipment system, low cost of chemicals, short residence time, which promote its large-scale application. In another study, Chlorococcum humicola was hydrolyzed by cellulose enzymes obtained from Trichoderma reesei ATCC 26921. The enzymatic hydrolysis was carried out under varying conditions of pH, temperature and substrate concentration, and the enzyme dosage was kept constant. The highest glucose yield of 64.2 % (w/w) was obtained at 40 °C, pH 4.8 and a substrate concentration of 10 g·L⁻¹ microalgal biomass, proving that the enzymatic hydrolysis is effective in enhancing the saccharification of microalgal biomass [22]. Kim et al. [23] used the microalgae Chlorella vulgaris in bioethanol production. Nitrogen limitation increased the total carbohydrates to 22.4 % from the usual content of 16 % dry weight basis. In enzymatic hydrolysis, the pentinase enzyme was superior for releasing the fermentable sugars from carbohydrates. Pectinase from Aspergillus aculeatus showed 79 % saccharification yield after 3 days at 50 °C. Continuous fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae (pH 5, 30 °C for 48 hours) resulted in 89 % fermentation yield and 0.6 g·L⁻¹ ethanol concentrations.

A study conducted by Shokrkar et al. [24] aimed to evaluate the effect of acid, alkaline, and enzymatic hydrolysis on sugar extraction from mixed microalgae. The effect of MgSO₄ in acid pretreatment on reducing the sugar yield was also studied. After pretreatment, glucose in acidic and enzymatic hydrolysates of microalgae were converted into ethanol using Saccharomyces cerevisiae with yields of 0.38 and 0.46 g·g⁻¹ glucose. It was found that enzymatic hydrolysis carried out during 24 hours could produce 6.01 g·L⁻¹ ethanol from 13.3 g·L⁻¹ sugars (glucose) derived from wet microalgal biomass, and 6.41 g·L⁻¹ ethanol from 13.77 g·L⁻¹ sugars were obtained from dry microalgal biomass. After 24 hours, the total ethanol yield was reduced from microalgal biomass obtained by acid hydrolysis (4.96 g·L⁻¹ ethanol from 13.05 g·L⁻¹ sugars derived from microalgae by acid hydrolysis: H₂SO₄ 0.5 M and 2.5 % MgSO₄ at 121 °C for 40 minutes). Nguyen et al. [25] tested the microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii UTEX 90 as feedstock for bioethanol production. With dry cells of 5 % (w/v), the microalgae was pretreated with H₂SO₄ (1-5 %) at temperatures between 100 and 120 °C, from 15 to 120 minutes. The maximum yield of glucose was 58 % (w/w) after pretreatment using 3 % (v/v) H₂SO₄ at temperature of 110 °C for 30 minutes. Then, the pretreated slurry was fermented using Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C. 14.6 g·L⁻¹ bioethanol were obtained from 50 g·L⁻¹ of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii UTEX 90 biomass, with 29.2 % yield after the biomass was saccharified.
To determine the maximum recovery of sugars from *Scenedesmus* sp., different pretreatments were applied in study [13]. The total sugar yield of 93% was obtained by acid hydrolysis. Next, the hydrolysate containing sugars (15 g·L⁻¹) was used for ethanol fermentation using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (5% v/v). Most of the sugars were converted to ethanol with the yield of 6.59 ± 0.56 g·L⁻¹ after 72 hours with 180 rpm, which is equivalent to 86% theoretical yield. The mass balance of ethanol production from 1 g of biomass containing 0.22 g of carbohydrate per g of microalgal biomass has shown that through acid hydrolysis, the hydrolysate contains 0.2 g of total sugar and 0.18 g of fermentable sugars. Undergoing yeast fermentation, these sugars would produce 0.0791 g of bioethanol (86% yield) [13]. In a similar test, the feasibility of *Hindakia tetrachotoma ME03* grown in municipal wastewater with various concentrations (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%) as a potential biomass feedstock to produce bioethanol in a flat-photobioreactor was studied. Acid, alkaline, and enzymatic hydrolysis were also studied for saccharification of microalgal biomass and optimized fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. After fermentation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at 36 hours in 50% wastewater, *Hindakia tetrachotoma ME03* had the maximum bioethanol content of 11.2 ± 0.3 g·L⁻¹ and yield of 94 ± 2.2%. Enzymatic hydrolysis with β-glucosidase/cellulose + α-amylase showed the highest saccharification (92.3 ± 0.9%) [5].

**Conclusions**

1. Studies support microalgal-based wastewater treatment coupled with bioethanol production as a promising strategy to decrease the economic and environmental cost of third generation biofuels, including bioethanol, which has been recognized as a clean and sustainable fuel.
2. Microalga carbohydrate contents are mainly starch and cellulose, and must be hydrolysed by various pretreatment methods: chemical (acid or alkali), biological (enzymes), to fermentable sugars via microbial fermentation enhanced by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* or other yeasts.
3. Despite the many advantages of algae capitalization, researches on their use as feedstock for bioethanol production are still on-going to bring bioethanol generated from micro and macroalgae to be competitive to other fossil fuel reserves.
4. Future research will be aimed at finding new possibilities to expand the current capacity of algae cultivation in domestic wastewater and wastewater from livestock farms (thus obtaining a more efficient treatment to allow the recovery of effluent in irrigation of energetic and agricultural crops), as well as in the identification and continuous improvement of technologies for harvesting algal biomass at low cost, and improved methods for the extraction of fermentable carbohydrates contained in algae, all of which ultimately have an important role in minimizing the impact on the environment.
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