TREND OF AMMONIA EMISSIONS FROM LIVESTOCK SECTOR IN LATVIA
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Abstract. The article analyses the sources of ammonia (NH₃) emissions in Latvia and the trends from 2005 to 2018 with the focus on agricultural production. The study is based on the annual Latvia’s Informative Inventory Report 1990 – 2018 submitted under the Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution Annex I data. It is crucial to decrease NH₃ emissions due to their toxic nature to the ecosystems and health of living beings as NH₃ not only contributes to acidification of water ecosystems, but also to formation of secondary particles. There is a trend of increase of NH₃ emissions from agriculture production in the study period (+12% comparing 2018 to 2005) opposed to the need to reach the national goal to decrease NH₃ emissions to the reference accounted in 2005 (1% NH₃ reduction). There is decrease of NH₃ emissions for about 5% from agriculture comparing 2018 and 2017 due to rapid decrease of farming animals. The largest increase of NH₃ emissions in the latest years in Latvia is observed from the use of inorganic fertilizers (+57%), because there is increase of the incorporated nitrogen (N) to soils. The lowest use of inorganic fertilizers was in 1995 (11.5 kt N) in the inventory period 1990 – 2018. There is decrease of emissions from the whole manure management cycle about 6% comparing 2018 to 2005. However, manure management is still the biggest source of NH₃ emissions (73% from agricultural NH₃ emissions), therefore, with the highest potential to decrease national NH₃ emissions as there are plenty of options to limit NH₃ from manure in all stages of livestock breeding and manure management (feeding, livestock housing, manure storage and application to soils). To reach the goal of NH₃ emissions reduction these all measures need to be accountable by developing a national model for estimation of annual NH₃ emissions, which should be based on detailed statistical data about manure management practices.
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Introduction

Ammonia (NH₃) is colourless gas with specific odour found in air, water and soil. NH₃ and its chemical components are important to metabolism of domestic animals. Animal manure as well as plant residues and organic matter decomposition processes are the source of NH₃ to the environment. However, human activities affect the amount of NH₃ and its chemical elements and can significantly increase it beyond the desired natural level and lead to negative changes of the aquatic ecosystems by wet and dry deposition and contribute to creation of fine particles [1].

Therefore, our country has a target: to deliver 1 % reduction in NH₃ emissions by 2020, compared to 2005, and a further 1 % by 2030 [2], but for this moment total national NH₃ emissions are increased (+6 % comparing 2018 to 2005) [3]. The European Union (EU) total NH₃ emissions have increased by 0.4 % from 2016 to 2017, but from 2005 to 2017 NH₃ emissions decreased by 4 %. The biggest contributors of NH₃ emissions are Germany, France and Spain. Latvia’s share of the total EU NH₃ emissions is 0.4 % in 2017. Agriculture contributed 92 % of the total EU NH₃ emissions in 2017. The highest share of NH₃ emissions in the EU is from manure applied to soils [4]. In Latvia, the largest NH₃ emissions in the agricultural sector, originate from livestock farming. It has been estimated that the production, storage and use of manure as fertilizer represent approximately 73 % of the total amount of NH₃ emissions from agricultural production and 61 % from the total national NH₃ emissions, but mineral fertiliser use for 25 % and 21 %, respectively [3].

The aim of this article was to assess the main causes of NH₃ emissions in the livestock sector and to explore the possible solutions to improve this situation in the near future. Investigation of the key categories and change in time can help identify the possible measures to help reach the nation goal of reduction of NH₃ emissions.

Materials and methods

For the study, the absolute values of NH₃ emissions reported in the Latvia’s Informative Inventory Annex I [3] were used for the agricultural sector from 2005 to 2018 to analyse the trend. These are the most current available national data at this point. The analysis included the estimated annual total NH₃ emission and breakdown for individual sources of emissions.
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To compare NH₃ emissions from agriculture in 2005 (reference year) with 2018, equation (1) was used.

\[
\Delta = \frac{|A_1 - A_2|}{A} \cdot 100,
\]

where \( \Delta \) – NH₃ emissions difference, %; 
\( A_1 \); \( A_2 \) – last and first year NH₃ emissions compared in the study, kt; 
\( A \) – NH₃ emission in the reference year, kt.

Equation (1) was also used to estimate the difference of emissions for two recent years, because there was a visible decrease of NH₃ emissions from 2017 to 2018 [3]. Overall, emissions in agriculture by 12 % from 2005 to 2018, but comparing emissions from 2005 to 2018, all livestock originated emissions were summarized in the category “livestock farming”. It is the total sum of NH₃ emissions from all livestock groups in different stages of manure management: housing, storage, grazing and application to soil.

Results and discussion

In Figure 1 NH₃ emissions reported in the Latvia’s Informative Inventory over the period 2005-2018 are summarised.

Figure 1 shows that NH₃ emissions from the agricultural sector increased, but in 2018 there is a drop of emissions by 0.59 kt. Decrease in 2018 is directly dependent on fluctuation of the livestock number. For example, the number of dairy cattle decreased by 3.93 % from 2017 to 2018 [3]. Overall, the trend of total NH₃ emissions is increasing. Therefore, there is a concern whether it is possible to reach the NH₃ emission reduction goals, because the decrease of emissions in 2018 is livestock number driven and could be only one year phenomenon. Livestock manure management NH₃ emissions have been relatively stable for the past years (fluctuation was around 10 kt).

There is increase of NH₃ emissions in agriculture by 12 % from 2005 to 2018, but comparing 2018 to 2017, there is decrease by 5 % (Figure 2). Manure managent is the bigest source of NH₃ emissions. Manure management in farms (from livestock housing and manure storage) is accountable for 51 % in 2018, but in 2005 they were 65 % from agricultural NH₃ emissions. The change of NH₃ emissions is from 7.33 to 6.54 kt (about -11 %). It is due to fluctuation of the number of livestock. The number of cattle changed from 385 thousand in 2005 to 406 thousand in 2017, and 395 thousand in 2018. The number of swine changed from 428 thousand in 2005 to 321 thousand in 2017, and 305 thousand in 2018 [5].
Fig. 2. Quantities of NH$_3$ emissions in agriculture and their sources in 2005, 2017 and 2018 in Latvia

There is legislation in force to regulate pollution from livestock farming. It states that all slurry storages have to be closed or with an artificial floating layer, if the natural crust is not formed [6]. There are still ways to reduce NH$_3$ emissions from storage of manure: covering the storages with higher efficiency covers (reduction higher than 40 %); covering solid manure stores; the slurry storages constructed after the 1st January 2022 should be low emission storage systems (reduction rate above 60 % to the reference) [7].

The highest increase of NH$_3$ is from use of inorganic fertilizers (57 % comparing 2018 to 2005). It is due to the increased value of nitrogen (N) input to the soil. There were drops of use of inorganic fertilizers in 1995 to 11.5 kt N from 131.4 kt N in 1990. Since 1995, there was increase of N use in form of inorganic fertilizers and in 2005 it was 40.9 kt N, but in 2018 74.5 kt N [3], that is increase of 45 % due to the attempt to achieve higher yields. In 2018 there is decrease of about 4 % of the use of inorganic fertilizers and 1 % of NH$_3$ emission. There is a positive trend of the total area certified for organic farming [8] that could contribute for decrease in using inorganic fertilizers.

Emissions from urine and dung deposited by grazing have increased by 27 %, because the numbers of non – dairy cattle have been increased from 2005 due to development of beef cattle farming [8]. The previous studies also have showed that there is a change in manure management systems. The share of slurry increases every year due to increased industrial livestock holdings. In 2017, the share of slurry reached 32 % from total manure in Latvia and in some cases NH$_3$ emissions can increase two times, if no reduction measurements are taken in account [9; 10].

Figure 3 shows that the highest emissions originate from dairy cattle farming. This tendency has not changed since 2005 (about 58 %). In 2005, the second largest NH$_3$ emission source is pig rearing 19 %, but by 2018 its share has been decreased to 11 %. Non – dairy livestock was responsible for 11 % of total agricultural emissions in 2018 and was the 2nd highest NH$_3$ emissions source from manure management. The share of NH$_3$ emissions from farming of laying hens has not changed greatly (6.15 % and 6.78 % in 2005 and 2018, respectively). There is increase of NH$_3$ emissions from rearing of broilers about two times (from 2 % in 2005 to 4 % in 2018). The previously described livestock groups together make the major NH$_3$ emission source with the highest possible reduction response potential, if abatement measures are implemented.
Analysing the trends, we can conclude that changes of NH$_3$ emissions are linked to fluctuation of activity data, for example, the number of livestock. It is possible to minimize the dependence on decrease of the livestock number by implementing NH$_3$ emissions abatement strategies to meet the reduction target. There are available a lot of options to reduce the environmental impact of manure management [11], depending on the conditions in the farm and investments, but to account for it, the methodological approach of NH$_3$ emission calculation should be upgraded and the statistical data about manure management need to be more sufficient.

Conclusions

1. NH$_3$ emissions from Latvian agriculture have increased by 12% between 2005 and 2018, but there is 5% drop of emissions in 2018 comparing to 2017, but we cannot say that it will continue in 2019. Therefore, analysing the trend of the whole study period, there is a possibility that the national target of 1% reduction of NH$_3$ emissions from 2005 to 2020 will not be reached.

2. During the 2005-2018 period, the largest increase in NH$_3$ emissions was due to the use of inorganic fertilisers by 57%, but there has been a reduction in NH$_3$ emissions of around 6% in the whole manure management system cycle (housing, storage deposition by grazing and manure application to the soil).

3. In 2018, manure management accounted for 73% of the total NH$_3$ emissions from the agricultural sector. That is why there is the greatest potential for reducing NH$_3$ emissions.

4. Higher calculation methodological approach needs to be developed for national NH$_3$ emission estimation based on detailed statistical data that would include a wider set of NH$_3$ abatement techniques used in Latvia.
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