OPTIMISATION DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF CLOVER THRASHER K-0.3
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Abstract. In the course of the research of the operational procedure of the clover thresher K-0.3 at alfalfa (Medicago) seed threshing the authors set a purpose of studying the influence of the machine design and technological parameters on the indicators of the quality of the industrial process of alfalfa seed threshing. To achieve the purpose, the authors adopted and implemented a Box-Behnken statistical design. After the plan implementation and processing of the experiment results the models of regression analysis of the second order were obtained for the extent of seed threshing and crushing. As a result, the authors draw a conclusion that a significant influence on the change of the seed threshing extent is provided by the change in the drum rotation frequency. The feed of the original material and the gap between the rasps and the threshing surface has a smaller impact on the change of alfalfa. The change of the seed threshing value is largely influenced by all of these three factors. The most significant of them is the drum rotation frequency. Based on the requirements set to the values of the quality indicators for the industrial process of grass seed threshing (the extent ε of seed threshing is not less than 97…98 %, crushing of d seeds not more than 1.5 %) the authors found an intermediate solution in relation to combination of the factor levels: q = 50…350 kg·h⁻¹; δ = δₜ₁ = δₜ₂ = 3…5 mm; n = 1 300…1 400 min⁻¹. To evaluate the validity of the research outcomes the authors conducted an experiment at registering the factor levels in relation to the assumed optimal values: n = 1400 min⁻¹, q = 350 kg·h⁻¹; and δ = 3 mm. As a result, the authors obtained the values of the seed threshing extent ε = 96.1 % against ε = 96.4 %, and crushing d = 0.68 % against d = 0.70 %, which, with a probability of 95 %, confirms the validity of the model and the results of the conducted research.
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Introduction

The stable development of the agrarian sector of animal breeding is reached due to the existence of a sound nutritive base, the main source of which is provided by perennial grasses [1;2].

Alfalfa is a highly-nourishing perennial pod-bearing grass rich in plant protein and balanced in terms of its amino acid content, containing a large amount of carotene, calcium and other important elements of agricultural animals’ feeding. That is why the feeding plant alfalfa has been used for thousands of years and gained a wide application at many world continents [3-6].

For the last decades the gross seed manufacture has reduced 3-4 times comparing with the end of the 80-s of the 20th century, while certified seeds comprise approximately 40 % of their gross collection [7], although an efficient feed production cannot exist without a wide range of high-quality medium-priced plant seeds. That is why development of new technologies and equipment is one of the top priority scientific tasks to improve the seed farming efficiency [1], the quality of the industrial process implementation, machine performance at post-harvest processing of plant seeds.

The seed threshing process for perennial grasses is quite a specific procedure and requires the application of special clover threshers [8;9]. The application of such special machines in the technology of plant seed post-harvest processing allows 2-5 times reduction of the seed losses and decrease in their dam-aging by 20…30 % [10-16].

Materials and methods

In this connection FARC North-East developed the clover thresher K-0.3B (Fig. 1) [17] intended for threshing clover, alfalfa and other legume grass seeds, installation into flow production lines for post-harvest processing of perennial grasses. This device is of an axial-rotor type [3;18], and its specific feature is simplicity of the design, small dimensions and low power consumption.

The clover thresher operates as follows. Using a loading mechanism of the production line (conveyor, bucket elevator, etc.), the treated material is fed into the loading mouth 1, after that it passes into the operation area, consisting of the rotating drum 2 and deck 4. At the drum 2 rotation rasps 5 pull the thrashed heap along the deck 4 and due to the ledges, located angle-wise towards the
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rasp long axis, ensure its axial movement. Further, the thrashed heap is threshed because of normal pressure in the compressed material layer and the friction force. Threshed seeds and small admixtures are fed from the operation area through the deck gaps 9, while the remaining threshed materials – through the discharge opening of the body 10 into the receiver of the threshed material 11 and are further let out. The threshed material from the receiver 11 is led into a further machine in the technological cycle.

![Fig. 1. General view (a) and process flow diagram of clover thresher K-0.3B (b): 1 – loading mouth; 2 – drum; 3 – body; 4 – deck; 5 – rasps; 6 – flange; 7 – bearing; 8 – shaft; 9 – deck gaps; 10 – discharge opening; 11 – ground material receiver](image)

To study the influence of the machine parameters on the quality indicators of the industrial process of alfalfa seed threshing the authors adopted and implemented the Box-Behnken design of the second order for three factors [19].

The factors, intervals and levels of their variation (Table 1) were selected by the method of priori ranging on the basis of the previous research results [3; 20].

Table 1: Factors, registering levels and variation intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coded factor designation</th>
<th>Name of factors, their designation and measurement unit</th>
<th>Levels of factors</th>
<th>Variation intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>Feeding of $q$ original material, kg·h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-1 50 150 350 150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>Drum rotation $n$ frequency, min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 100 1 $\times$ 300 1 $\times$ 500 200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>Gap $\delta$ between the rasps and the threshing surface, mm</td>
<td>3 5 7 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiments were conducted at the number of rasps $Z = 4$. Alfalfa hull with the humidity 7...10 % was an original material at the conduct of tests of the experiment plan. The adequacy hypothesis was tested using the Fisher’s F-test. Regression models were evaluated for adequacy to the real process with probability $P = 0.95$.

Results and discussion

After the plan implementation and processing of the experiment results the models of regression analysis of the second order were obtained for the extent of seed threshing and crushing, %:

$$
\varepsilon = 95.45 - 0.80 \cdot x_1 + 1.36 \cdot x_2 - 0.59 \cdot x_3 - 0.10 \cdot x_1^2 + 0.24 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - 0.44 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 - 0.17 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.07 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 + 0.09 \cdot x_3^2, \ %; 
$$

(1)
\[ d = 0.39 - 0.28 \cdot x_1 + 0.54 \cdot x_2 - 0.222 \cdot x_3 + 0.10 \cdot x_1^2 - 0.18 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + 0.08 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 + 0.21 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.18 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 + 0.03 \cdot x_3^2, \% (2) \]

After excluding insignificant factors from the regression models (1) and (2) and recalculation of the remaining factors the models will be formulated as follows, %:

\[ \varepsilon = 95.36 - 0.80 \cdot x_1 + 1.36 \cdot x_2 - 0.59 \cdot x_3 + 0.24 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - 0.44 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3, \%; \quad (3) \]

\[ d = 0.41 - 0.28 \cdot x_1 + 0.54 \cdot x_2 - 0.22 \cdot x_3 + 0.10 \cdot x_1^2 - 0.18 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + 0.08 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 + 0.21 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.18 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3, \% . \quad (4) \]

The analysis of regression models (3) and (4) was conducted with the help of two-dimensional sections of the response surfaces (Fig. 2).

The maximum value of the threshing extent \( \varepsilon = 97.4 \% \) is reached at the experiment area border: \( x_1 = -1 \) \((q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1})\); \( x_2 = 1 \) \((n = 1 \text{ 500 min}^{-1})\); \( x_3 = -1 \) \((\delta = 3 \text{ mm})\).

The minimal crushing value \( d = 0.03 \% \) of seeds is reached at: \( x_1 = 0.4 \) \((q = 260 \text{ kg·h}^{-1})\); \( x_2 = -0.7 \) \((n = 1 \text{ 160 min}^{-1})\); \( x_3 = 1 \) \((\delta = 7 \text{ mm})\).

A significant influence on the change of the seed threshing extent \( \varepsilon \) is provided by the change in the drum rotation frequency \( n \). For instance, at \( \delta = 3 \text{ mm} \) and \( q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) the increase of \( n \) from 1 100 up to 1 500 min\(^{-1} \) leads to the increase of \( \varepsilon \) from 95.2 up to 97.4 \%, while at \( q = 350 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) from 94.0 up to 97.2 \%.

The two remaining factors have less influence onto the change of the values of the alfalfa seed threshing extent \( \varepsilon \). For instance, the decrease in \( \delta \) from 7 to 3 mm at \( q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) and \( n = 1 \text{ 500 min}^{-1} \) leads to the increase in the value \( \varepsilon \) only by 0.3 \% \((\text{from 97.1 to 97.4} \%)\), while the increase in the feed \( q \) of the original material into the threshing device from 50 to 350 kg·h\(^{-1}\) at \( \delta = 3 \text{ mm} \) and \( n = 1 \text{ 500 min}^{-1} \) results in the increase of \( \varepsilon \) only by 0.2 \% \((\text{from 97.2 to 97.4} \%)\).

The change of the seed threshing value \( d \) is largely influenced by all of these three factors. The most significant of them is the drum rotation frequency \( n \). Thus, at \( \delta = 3 \text{ mm} \) and \( q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) the increase of \( n \) from 1 100 up to 1 500 min\(^{-1} \) leads to the increase of \( d \) from 0.38 up to 2.19 \% \((\text{by 1.81} \%)\), while at \( q = 350 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) – from 0.04 up to 1.12 \%.

The gap change has lower influence on the \( d \) values. For example, at \( q = 350 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \) and \( n = 1 \text{ 500 min}^{-1} \) the decrease in \( \delta \) from 7 to 3 mm leads to a quite natural increase in \( d \) from 0.47 to 1.12 \% \((\text{by 0.65} \%)\).

The least influence on the value of seed crushing \( d \) is provided by the change in the feed \( q \) of the original material. Thus, for instance, at \( n = 1 \text{ 100 min}^{-1} \) and \( \delta = 3 \text{ mm} \) the increase of the \( q \) feed from 50 to 350 kg·h\(^{-1}\) leads to the decrease of \( d \) from 0.38 to 0.04 \% \((\text{by 0.34} \%)\).

The cause and effect relations between the regularities of the quality indicator change of the industrial process of alfalfa seed threshing are the same as the previously obtained interconnections between the parameter influence and the clover seed threshing quality indicators [3].

The described regularities in terms of the influence the studied factors have on the quality indicators of the alfalfa seed threshing are preserved for the total planning area. The optimal area for the response of the extent \( \varepsilon \) of seed threshing (maximum value of response function) and their crushing \( d \) (minimum response function value) is located in various spots of a factor space. The extent \( \varepsilon \) of seed threshing takes its maximum value \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 97.4 \% \) on the border of a two-factor space at the following combination of the studied factors: \( x_1 = -1 \) \((q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1})\); \( x_2 = 1 \) \((n = 1 \text{ 500 min}^{-1})\); \( x_3 = -1 \) \((\delta = 3 \text{ mm})\).

The seed crushing \( d \) takes its minimum value \((d = 0.03 \%)\) at \( x_1 = 0.4 \) \((q = 260 \text{ kg·h}^{-1})\); \( x_2 = -0.7 \) \((n = 1 \text{ 160 min}^{-1})\); \( x_3 = 1 \) \((\delta = 7 \text{ mm})\).

Based on the requirements set to the values of the quality indicators for the industrial process of grass seed threshing (the extent \( \varepsilon \) of seed threshing is not less than 97...98 \%, crushing \( d \) seeds - not more than 1.5 \%) the authors found an intermediate solution in relation to the combination of the factor levels: \( q = 50...350 \text{ kg·h}^{-1}; \delta=\delta_{\text{opt}} = 3...5 \text{ mm}; n = 1 \text{ 300...1 400 min}^{-1} \).
Fig. 2. Two-dimensional sections of response surfaces for threshing ε of alfalfa seeds and of d seeds: a – at \( x_3 = -1 \) (δ = 3 mm); b – at \( x_3 = 1 \) (\( n = 1.500 \text{ min}^{-1} \)); c – at \( x_3 = -1 \) (\( q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \));

d – at \( x_3 = -1 \) (δ = 3 mm); e – at \( x_3 = 1 \) (\( n = 1.500 \text{ min}^{-1} \)); f – at \( x_3 = -1 \) (\( q = 50 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \))

To evaluate the validity of the research outcomes the authors conducted an experiment at registering the factor levels in relation to the assumed optimal values: \( n = 1400 \text{ min}^{-1} \), \( q = 350 \text{ kg·h}^{-1} \); and \( \delta = 3 \text{ mm} \). As a result, the authors obtained the values of the seed threshing extent \( \varepsilon = 96.1 \% \).
against $\varepsilon = 96.4\%$ using the regression analysis model (3) and crushing $d = 0.68\%$ against $d = 0.70\%$ using the regression analysis model (4), which, with a probability of 95\%, confirms the validity of the model and results of the conducted research.

**Conclusions**

In the course of the experimental research the authors obtained the design and technological parameters of the clover thresher K-0.3 at alfalfa seed threshing: $q = 50…350 \text{ kg-h}^{-1}$; $\delta = \delta_a = \delta_{out} = 3…5 \text{ mm}; n = 1 300…1 400 \text{ min}^{-1}$.
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