MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF HEAT TRANSFER PROBLEM FOR TWO LAYERED GYPSUM BOARD PRODUCTS EXPOSED TO FIRE
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Abstract. In this paper we study the problem of the heat transfer through the two layered material of gypsum (with different densities) board products exposed to fire. This paper proposes a thermal conductivity model in two gypsum product layers for foam gypsum plate and gypsum board with different density and at high temperatures. For transfer of heat the system of 2 non-stationary partial differential equations (PDEs) is derived expressing the rate of the change of temperature $T$ in every layer. The approximation of the corresponding initial boundary value problem of this system is based on the conservative averaging method (CAM) by using special splines with hyperbolic functions. This procedure allows reducing the 2-D heat transfer initial-boundary problem described by a system of 2 PDEs to the initial value problem for a system of 2 ordinary differential equations (ODEs) of the first order. The results of calculations are obtained by MATLAB.
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Introduction

The standards in building construction increase and it leads to the development of new materials. Fire protection becomes a prime requirement of building regulations in many countries in the construction industry. New composite materials with good properties as thermal insulators at elevated temperatures are developed. Commercial gypsum boards are widely used in the building industry as facing materials for walls and ceilings due to their very good mechanical and thermal properties. Thermal response of gypsum materials has been experimentally and numerically studied during the past years [1-5]. Gypsum based materials are known for excellent properties in fire protection and are used as general materials to protect building structures against fire. Most of the gypsum based materials are made as low or high density boards, but it is not common to make composite materials combining low and high density layers. Boards made with different layer density can achieve better results in fire safety, thermal insulation and acoustics [6; 7]. The endothermic dehydration process that takes place at high temperatures is capable of slowing down the fire spread through gypsum board based systems. A very important role here is played by the heat transfer process in the same material. Experimentally it is very difficult to determine, therefore, it uses the heat transfer process of mathematical modeling. There are several computation models made to predict the thermal behaviour of gypsum boards under fire conditions. They revealed the significance of using appropriate physical properties for simulating the temperature evolution inside a gypsum board when exposed to fire conditions [8-12]. As noted “no significant influence of vapour transport on temperature is observed when the phase change is omitted” [12].

The objective of the present study is to develop a mathematical model of heat transfer thought the two layered material of gypsum (foam gypsum and gypsum board) with different densities at high temperature on one of the walls.

1. The mathematical model

The study of heat and mass transfer through a different media becomes much more interesting due to its vast applications. We study the heat transfer processes in the two layers of gypsum material at high temperatures. We consider a gypsum board material with two layered plates in x-direction: foam gypsum plate 0.0525 m with density 300 kg·m⁻³ and gypsum carton plate 0.0125 m with density 1000 kg·m⁻³. The gypsum plate on one border is heated with temperature

$$T = 20 + 345\log(8t + 1) \, ^\circ\text{C} \quad (1)$$

where $t$ – the time in minutes.

In one gypsum layer the heat and mass transfer process is analysed and described in [12; 13]. In this paper the hybrid experimental numerical method is proposed and the specific heat $c_p$ and thermal conductivity $K$ coefficient dependence on temperature $T$ is obtained: $K$ decreases from the value
0.24 W·(m·ºC)\(^{-1}\) by \(T = 20 \degree C\) to 0.12 W·(m·ºC)\(^{-1}\) by 200 \degree C, then \(K\) increase depends on \(T\) to the value 0.24; coefficients \(c_p\) in these heat intervals are increasing from 1000 J·(kg·ºC)\(^{-1}\) by \(T = 20 \degree C\) to 25000 J·(kg·ºC)\(^{-1}\) and then decreasing to 1000. The process of diffusion and heat transfer is considered in 1-D space domain

\[ \Omega = \{(x, y, z) : 0 \leq x \leq L, -\infty < y < \infty, -\infty < z < \infty \} . \]

The domain \(\Omega\) consists of two layer medium. We will consider the non-stationary problem of the linear diffusion theory for two layered piece-wise homogenous materials in the domain

\[ \Omega_i = \{(x, y, z) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), y \in (-\infty, \infty), z \in (-\infty, \infty)\}, i = 1, 2, \]

where \(H_i = x_i - x_{i-1}\) – the height of the layer \(\Omega_i\);
\(x_0 = 0, x_1 = H_1 = 0.0525, x_2 = L = H_1 + H_2 = 0.0650, H_2 = 0.0125.\)

The rate at which the temperature of the material changes in \(N\) layers is determined by the heat conduction PDE in the following form:

\[ c_{pi}\rho_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} = K_i \frac{\partial^2 T_i}{\partial x^2}, x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], i = 1, N, t > 0, \]

where \(c_{pi}\) – the specific heat;
\(K_i, \rho_i\) – the heat conductivity and the density of the gypsum material.

We assume that the coefficients \(c_p, K\) in the PDEs are dependent of the temperature \(T\) similarly as in [13] (we use linear interpolation, see Fig. 1, 2).

![Fig. 1. Specific heat \(c_p\) depending on temperature \(T\)](image1)

![Fig. 2. Thermal conductivity \(K\) depending on temperature \(T\)](image2)

In the case of two layers (\(N = 2\)) we obtain the system of two PDEs

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1(T) \frac{\partial^2 T_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial T_1(x,t)}{\partial t}, \\
D_2(T) \frac{\partial^2 T_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial T_2(x,t)}{\partial t},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(D_i(t) = \frac{K_i(t)}{\rho_i c_{pi}(T)}\), \(i = 1, 2\) – the thermal diffusion coefficients depending on \(T\).

For the initial condition for \(t = 0\) we give \(T_1(x, 0) = T_2(x, 0) = T_0\), where \(T_0 = 20 \degree C\).

We use following boundary and continuous conditions:


\[
\begin{aligned}
D_i(T) \frac{\partial T_i(0,t)}{\partial x} - \alpha(T_i(0,t) - T_a) = 0, & \\
T_i(x_i,t) = T_2(x_i,t), & \\
D_i(t) \frac{\partial T_i(x_1,t)}{\partial x} = D_2(t) \frac{\partial T_2(x_1,t)}{\partial x},
\end{aligned}
\]  

where \( \alpha \) are the constant mass transfer coefficients; 

\( T_i(t) = 345 \lg(8t + 1) \) in minutes; 

\( T_a = T_b = 20 \, ^\circ C \).

2. CAM with integral hyperbolic splines in two layers

The method of conservative averaging (CAM) by using the special integral splines with hyperbolic trigonometric functions is openly discussed in papers [15]. The CAM procedure allows reducing the problem to an initial problem for the system of ODEs. Using the averaged method with respect to \( x \) we have

\[
T_i(x,t) = T_{iv}(t) + m_i(t) - \frac{0.5H_i \sinh(a_i(x - \bar{x}_i))}{\sinh(0.5a_i H_i)} + e_i(t) \left( \cosh(a_i(x - \bar{x}_i)) - A_i \right),
\]

where \( T_{iv}(t) = H_i^{-1} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} T_i(x,t) dx \):

\[
\begin{align}
\bar{x}_i &= (x_{i-1} + x_i)/2, x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]; \\
A_i &= \frac{\sinh(0.5a_i H_i)}{0.5a_i H_i}, i = 1; 2.
\end{align}
\]

We can see if the parameters \( a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0 \) tend to zero, then the limit is the integral parabolic spline (A. Buikis [14]):

\[
T_i(x,t) = T_{iv}(t) + m_i(t)(x - \bar{x}_i) + e_i(t) \left( \frac{(x - \bar{x}_i)^2}{H_i^2} - \frac{1}{12} \right).
\]

The unknown functions \( m_i(t), e_i(t) \) can be determined from conditions (3):

1. For \( x = 0 \) \( D_i(T)(m_i d_1 - e_i k_i) - \alpha(T_{iv} - m_i H_i/2 + e_i b_{iv} - T_a) = 0; \)
2. For \( x = L \) \( T_{iv} + 0.5m_i H_i + e_i b_{iv} + T_i(t), T_i(t) = T_b + T_1(t); \)
3. For \( x = x_1 T_{iv} + 0.5m_i H_i + e_i b_{iv} = T_2 + 0.5m_i H_i + e_i b_{iv}. \)

\[
D_i(T)(m_i d_1 + e_i k_i) = D_2(t)(m_2 d_2 - e_2 k_2),
\]

where \( d_i = 0.5H_i a_i \coth(0.5a_i H_i), \)

\( k_i = 0.25a_i \coth(0.25a_i H_i), \)

\( b_{iv} = \frac{\cosh(0.5a_i H_i) - A_i}{8 \sinh^2(0.25a_i H_i)}, i = 1; 2. \)

Therefore

\[
\begin{align}
m_2 &= m_{21} T_{iv} + m_{22} T_{2v} + m_{23} T_{(t)} + m_{24} T_a, \quad e_2 = e_{21} T_{iv} + e_{22} T_{2v} + e_{23} T_{(t)} + e_{24} T_a, \\
m_1 &= m_{11} T_{iv} + m_{12} T_{2v} + m_{13} T_{(t)} + m_{14} T_a, \quad e_1 = e_{11} T_{iv} + e_{12} T_{2v} + e_{13} T_{(t)} + e_{14} T_a,
\end{align}
\]

where \( m_{21} = -b_{21} (1 + b_{11}) / \text{det}, \quad m_{22} = (b_{22} - b_{1}) / \text{det}, \quad m_{23} = b_{1} / \text{det}, \quad m_{24} = b_{22} b_{12} / \text{det}, \)

\( e_{21} = 0.5H_2 (1 + b_{11}) / \text{det}, \quad e_{22} = -(0.5H_2 + b_{10}) / \text{det}, \quad e_{23} = b_{10} / \text{det}, \quad e_{24} = -0.5H_2 b_{12} / \text{det}, \)

\( m_{11} = b_{1} m_{21} - b_{8} e_{21} + b_{7}, \quad m_{12} = b_{2} m_{22} - b_{8} e_{22} - b_{7} e_{22}, \quad m_{13} = b_{7} m_{23} - b_{8} e_{23}, \quad m_{14} = b_{7} m_{24} - b_{8} e_{24} - b_{7} \).
\[ e_{11} = \frac{m_1}{b_1} - \frac{b_1}{b_1}, \; e_{12} = \frac{m_1}{b_1}, \; e_{13} = \frac{m_1}{b_1}, \; e_{14} = \frac{m_1}{b_1} + \frac{b_1}{b_1}, \]

\[ b_1 = \frac{k_1 + \alpha f b_1}{d_1 + 0.5 \alpha f H_1}, \; \alpha f = \frac{\alpha f}{D_1}, \; b_2 = \frac{\alpha f}{d_1 + 0.5 \alpha f H_1}, \; b_3 = \frac{D_2}{D_1 k_1}, \; b_4 = 0.5 H_2 + b_3 d_1 b_1, \]

\[ b_5 = b_{2x} + b_3 k_2 b_1 b_1, \; b_6 = 0.5 H_1 - b_{1x} / p_1, \; p_1 = k_1 / d_1, \; b_7 = \frac{p_1 b_1 b_3 d_2}{p_1 + b_1}, \; b_8 = \frac{p_1 b_1 b_3 k_2}{p_1 + b_1}, \]

\[ b_j = \frac{p_j b_2}{p_1 + b_1}, \; b_{10} = b_4 + b_0 b_7, \; b_{11} = b_5 + b_0 b_8, \; b_{12} = b_6 b_3, \; b_{13} = b_2 / b_1. \]

From (2) follows the nonlinear system of ODEs

\[
\begin{align*}
2D_1(t) k_1 e_1(t) / H_1 &= \frac{\partial T_{1v}(t)}{\partial t}, \\
2D_2(t) k_2 e_2(t) / H_2 &= \frac{\partial T_{2v}(t)}{\partial t}, \\
T_{1v}(0) &= T_{2v}(0) = T_0,
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{T}_{1v} &= b_{11} T_{1v} + b_{12} T_{2v} + f_1 + p_1(t), \\
\dot{T}_{2v} &= b_{21} T_{1v} + b_{22} T_{2v} + f_2 + p_2(t), \\
T_{1v}(0) &= T_{2v}(0) = T_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( b_{i,j} = 2D_i k_i e_{i,j} / H_i, \)

\[ f_i = 2D_i k_i \left( e_{i3} T_o + e_{i4} T_o \right), \]

\[ p_i(t) = 2D_i k_i e_{i5} T_i(t), \; i, j = 1, 2. \]

where \( W(t), \; W_0, \; F, \; P \) – the 2-order vector-column with elements \( (T_{1v}(t), T_{2v}(t)), (T_{1v}, T_{2v}), (f_1, f_2), (p_1, p_2). \)

\( A \) is the 2-order matrix

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},
\]

For constant heat parameters the averaged solution is

\[
W(t) = W_1(t) + W_2(t),
\]

where \( W_1(t) = \exp(At) W_0 - (E - \exp(At)) A^{-1} F \) – the analytical solutions of the ODEs system

\( \dot{W}_1(t) = AW_1(t) + F, \; W_1(0) = W_0. \)

Splitting the vector \( p(t) \) in the form \( p(t) = g(t) E, \; E = (1, 1) \), the solution of the ODEs system \( \dot{W}_2(t) = AW_2(t) + g(t) E, \; W_2(0) = 0 \) we can obtain using the spectral decomposition of the matrix \( A \):

\[
A = V D V^1, \; V^1 = V^{-1}, \; W_2(t) = VR(t),
\]

\[
\dot{R}(t) = DR(t) + g(t) V^1 E, \; R(0) = 0, \; R(t) = I(t) V^1 P_0.
\]

\[
I(t) = \int_0^t \exp(D(t - \tau)) g(\tau) d\tau
\]
where \( D \) – the diagonal matrix with negative discrete eigenvalues \(-k_i, i = 1, 4\),

\[ \lambda_i = -k_i, \]

\( V \) – the matrix of eigenvectors in the column, \( R(t) \) is the column-vector.

If \( g(t) = \ln(8t + 1) \) then

\[ I_i(t) = \int_0^t \exp(k_i(t - \tau))g(\tau)d\tau = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{k_i} \left[ \ln(8t + 1) + \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 1/8)k_i}{|k_i|}\right)E_i\left(\frac{|k_i|}{8}\right) - E_i\left(|k_i|\frac{(t + 1/8)}{8}\right) \right], \]

where \( E_i(q) \) – the integral exponential ([16], formula 3.352-3 in 325.p).

The temperature depending solution \( W(t) \) can be obtained with Matlab solver “ode15s”.

3. Some numerical results

For the calculations we use the discrete grid values \( x_j = jh, j = 0, N_x, N_xh = L, t_n = n\tau, \)

\( n = 0, N, N_x, \tau = t_f \) and the parameters \( N_x = 100, T_0 = 20 \, ^{\circ}C, T_a = 20 \, ^{\circ}C, T_v(t) = 20 + 345\ln(8t + 1) \, ^{\circ}C, \)

\( t \in [0, t_b] \), \( t_b \) is the maximal heating time.

The stationary solutions are obtained in the time \( t_f > t_b \) with the maximal temperature \( T(L, t_f) = 678.43 \, ^{\circ}C \).

The results of calculation for \( t_f = 2000 \, s, t_b = 600 \, s \) are represented in Fig. 3 and 4, with \( T(0, t_f) = 139.94, T(h_1, t_f) = 150.42, T_v = 139.08, T_{v2} = 387.10 \), (the temperature in the first layer, gypsum plate is nearly constant).

The parameters \( a_1 = 20, a_2 = 10 \) are obtained for the minimal value of maximal error for averaging values.

![Fig. 3. Averaging temperature depending on \( t \) for \( t_f = 2000 \, s \)](image)

![Fig. 4. Temperature depending on \( x \) for \( t_f = 2000 \, s \)](image)

For numerical experiment we use also backward orientation: for gypsum plate \( H_2 = 0.0525 \, m \) with density \( \rho_2 = 300 \, kg \cdot m^{-3} \) gypsum carton plate \( H_1 = 0.0125 \, m \) with density \( \rho_1 = 1000 \, kg \cdot m^{-3} \), \( a_1 = 20, a_2 = 10 \). The results of calculation are represented in Fig. 5 and 6 with \( T(0, t_f) = 100.27, T(H_1, t_f) = 479.73, T(L, t_f) = 678.43, T_v = 283.88, T_{v2} = 569.15 \) (the temperature in the first layer is nearly constant).

The parameters \( a_1 = 20, a_2 = 10 \) are obtained for the minimal value of maximal error for averaging values.

For \( t_f = 6000 \, s, t_b = 4800 \, s \) with the maximal temperature 988.37 \, ^{\circ}C \) the results of calculation are represented in the Fig. 7-10 with \( T(0, t_f) = 229.87, T(H_1, t_f) = 297.86, T(L, t_f) = 988.37, T_v = 263.09, T_{v2} = 642.08 \) (direct orientation), \( T(0, t_f) = 183.64, T(H_1, t_f) = 910.94, T(L, t_f) = 988.37, T_v = 2547.20, T_{v2} = 949.63 \) (backward orientation).
Fig. 5. Backward averaging temperature depending on $t$ for $t_f = 2000$ s

Fig. 6. Backward temperature depending on $x$ for $t_f = 2000$ s

Fig. 7. Averaging temperature depending on $t$ for $t_f = 6000$ s

Fig. 8. Temperature depending on $x$ for $t_f = 6000$ s

Fig. 9. Backward averaging temperature depending on $t$ for $t_f = 6000$ s

Fig. 10. Backward temperature depending on $x$ for $t_f = 6000$ s
Conclusions

1. For transfer of heat in two gypsum layers the system of 2 PDEs for determination the temperature $T$ in every layer is considered.
2. The approximation of the corresponding initial boundary value problem of the system of PDEs is based on the conservative averaging method (CAM).
3. CAM is used with new hyperbolic type splines. For these splines the best parameter for minimal error is calculated. This method can be used for solution of the multi dimension 3D problem of PDEs.
4. The problem of the system of PDEs with constant coefficients is approximated on the initial value problem of a system of linear and nonlinear ODEs of the first order, where it is solved analytically and with Matlab solvers.
5. Such a procedure allows to obtain a simple engineering algorithm for solving mass transfer equations for different substances in layered domain.
6. The results of the numerical experiments can give some new physical conclusions about the drying process in gypsum board materials.
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